

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/763,787	01/23/2004	Frederick Browne Gregg	64906-CON1	7948	
7590 07/30/2007 Christopher F. Regan			EXAMINER		
Allen, Dyer, De	oppelt,	•	CHIMIAK, EMILY ANN		
Milbrath, Gilch P.O. Box 3791	rist, P.A.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Orlando, FL 32802-3791			1733		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		. *	07/30/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/763,787	GREGG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Emily Chimiak	1733		

Delote the Filling of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Emily Chimiak	1733					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 16 July 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or a TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed <u>AMENDMENTS</u> 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in belowing appeal; and/or 	tter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>see Advisory Action Attachment</u> . (See 37 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		Il be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			•				
Claim(s) rejected to: Claim(s) rejected: 61-63,65-74 and 76. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 64 and 75.							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		•					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	it before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attact	ned.				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu see Advisory Action Attachment. 	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
			,				

Application/Control Number: 10/763,787

Art Unit: 1733

Advisory Action Attachment

new issues

The new issues include: (1) in claim 61, deleting --cutting the core material and at least one face layer secured thereto into a plurality of uncured wallboard or backerboard sheets-- and (2) adding new claim 78 reciting --cutting the cured core material and at least one face layer secured thereto into a plurality of cured wallboard or backerboard sheets--.

remarks

Applicant acknowledges that Blom discloses a method for making blocks of aerated concrete including forming a block of uncured aerated concrete, cutting the uncured aerated concrete block in one direction, subsequently cutting the uncured aerated concrete block in a perpendicular direction, and curing the uncured crosscut aerated concrete block in an autoclave.

Applicant argues that Blom is deficient in disclosing securing face layers on core material. This argument is not persuasive since Teare and Emerson, both directed to producing cement containing products, provide ample motivation (improved strength) to secure face sheets to the uncured aerated concrete (uncured cement containing material) of Blom.

Applicant comments that Emerson discloses a method for reinforcing gypsum wallboard comprising layers of cardboard and gypsum plaster. More properly, Emerson teaches obtaining reinforcing effect at or near the surface of a wallboard by applying

Application/Control Number: 10/763,787

Art Unit: 1733

meshed material to uncured cement material wherein the meshed material may be cotton gauze, wire cloth or perforated paper.

Applicant comments and examiner agrees that Teare discloses a method for making concrete boards. Examiner adds that Teare teaches applying reinforcing fabric (e.g. woven glass fiber yarns) on uncured concrete (uncured cement containing material).

Applicant argues "[n]one of the cited references discloses or fairly suggests the order of the claimed invention" (page 9). This argument is not persuasive since Blom discloses a specific order of cutting steps before curing the cement material in the aerated concrete and the applied secondary prior art to Teare and Emerson teach applying the facing sheet before curing the cement material in the core; it being noted that Blom states: "The receiving support comprises supplementarily a carriage 61 arranged to transport the cut block units from the jack members to other stations in the plant, maybe directly to the autoclave E" (col. 5 lines 29-32).

Applicant argues that one of ordinary skill in the art would consider autoclaving the fiber glass fabric of Teare or the cotton gauze of Emerson in the autoclave of Blom to be inoperable. This argument is not persuasive. Blom discloses curing the cement material of the uncured aerated concrete blocks at a temperature of for example 200 degrees C in the autoclave. See col. 1 lines 48-50 of Blom. One of ordinary skill in the art would readily understand that the face sheet (e.g. glass fiber fabric, wire cloth) suggested by the applied secondary prior art to have a melting point above the temperature (e.g. 200 degrees C) used by Blom in the autoclave such that there would

Art Unit: 1733

be a reasonable expectation of success for using such face sheet in the process of Blom.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Emily Chimiak telephone number is (571) 272-6486.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri. 8:30 AM - 5:30 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on (571) 272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Emily Chimiak July 27, 2007 PRIMARY EXAMINER